



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,492	01/16/2004	Edward Hosung Park	03-0051	7681

29293 7590 05/30/2007
FREUDENBERG-NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP
LEGAL DEPARTMENT
47690 EAST ANCHOR COURT
PLYMOUTH, MI 48170-2455

EXAMINER

DANIELS, MATTHEW J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1732

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

05/30/2007

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cyr@fngp.com
rrw@fngp.com
fngp@hdp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/759,492	Applicant(s) PARK, EDWARD HOSUNG	
	Examiner Matthew J. Daniels	Art Unit 1732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/05, 12/6/06, 1/11/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The restriction requirement is withdrawn. Further consideration of the claims revealed that the claims were sufficiently related by the method of preparing the composition such that search and examination of both methods could be made without serious burden.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1, 4, 9-16, 18, 19, 35, 38, 40-43** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544). **As to Claim 1**, Yokokawa teaches a method of forming a thermoplastic elastomeric composition comprising:

- (a) heating a fluoroelastomer in the presence of a thermoplastic material and curing agent for a time less than that needed to completely cure the fluoroelastomer (4:50-68).
- (d) completely curing the thermoplastic vulcanizate (5:13-34).

Yokokawa is silent to:

- (a) heating to partially cure the thermoplastic vulcanizate.
- (b) applying an adhesive layer to a substrate

(c) bringing the partially cured thermoplastic into contact with the adhesive layer.

However, these aspects of the invention would have been obvious for the following reasons:

(a) Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending. Additionally, Ozawa teaches that vulcanization conditions, particularly temperature and time, should be selected by the artisan (24:29-36).

(b) Ozawa teaches applying an adhesive (22:32) to the substrate (22:30-31).

(c) Yokokawa teaches a vulcanizate that would have partial degree of curing (See (a) above), and Ozawa teaches that it is known to apply a thermoplastic elastomer composition to the adhesive layer (22:30-36). In the combination, one would have found it obvious to use the process of Yokokawa to fabricate the outer layer to be placed on the adhesive and substrate of Ozawa.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Ozawa into that of Yokokawa for the following reasons:

(a) It would be desirable to the method of Yokokawa to integrate the thermoplastic-fluoroelastomer material with other objects, such as the materials of Ozawa, in order to provide

Art Unit: 1732

strength, shape, or other properties of the secondary material(s), and to improve the bond with those materials.

(b) Yokokawa suggests fabricating tubular articles (5:62), which is the shape provided by Ozawa (Col. 22).

(c) The teachings of Ozawa regarding the selection of appropriate temperature and time for dynamic vulcanization would have been desirable to one seeking to optimize the process of Yokokawa and provide an appropriate degree of mixing and/or curing.

As to Claim 4, Ozawa teaches that the substrate may comprise reinforcing fibers (21:65-22:3) such as polyamides, nylons, and polyesters, which are plastics. **As to Claim 9**, Yokokawa teaches peroxide catalysts (4:41).

As to Claim 10, Yokokawa teaches injection molding or extruding a thermoplastic elastomer composition (5:15-19), wherein the thermoplastic composition would comprises a discrete phase of fluoroelastomer and a continuous phase of thermoplastic polymer material (2:49-68, 300 parts polyvinylidene fluoride and 100 parts elastomer would lead to the continuous thermoplastic and discrete fluoroelastomer phases), and curing the thermoplastic elastomer composition (5:20-40).

Yokokawa is silent to:

- (a) applying a partially cured thermoplastic elastomer composition.
- (b) applying the thermoplastic elastomer onto a substrate

However, these aspects of the invention would have been obvious for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1732

(a) Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending. Additionally, Ozawa teaches that vulcanization conditions, particularly temperature and time, should be selected by the artisan (24:29-36).

(b) Ozawa teaches applying a thermoplastic material (22:32) onto the substrate (22:33-36).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Ozawa into that of Yokokawa for the following reasons:

- (a) It would be desirable to the method of Yokokawa to integrate the thermoplastic-fluoroelastomer material with other objects, such as the materials of Ozawa, in order to provide strength, shape, or other properties of the secondary material(s).
- (b) Yokokawa suggests fabricating tubular articles (5:62), which is the shape provided by Ozawa (Col. 22).
- (c) The teachings of Ozawa regarding the selection of appropriate temperature and time for dynamic vulcanization would have been desirable to one seeking to optimize the process of Yokokawa and provide an appropriate degree of mixing and/or curing.

As to Claim 11, the vulcanizate of Yokokawa would implicitly comprise a partially cured dynamic vulcanizate (See Claim 10 above) of a fluoroelastomer (2:25-32) and a thermoplastic material (2:33). **As to Claim 12**, Yokokawa teaches copolymers of vinylidene fluoride (2:27-30). **As to Claim 13**, Yokokawa teaches mixing by a process of mixing the fluoroelastomer and thermoplastic and curing agent while heating (4:50-52), which would implicitly effect a partial curing of the fluoroelastomer. **As to Claims 14-16**, Ozawa teaches that in a dynamic mixing process, many thermoplastics may be used interchangeably, including fluoroplastics (23:47-49, ETFE), non-fluorinated (23:20-43), and partially fluorinated thermoplastics (PVDF, 23:44-45). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate these materials into the method of Yokokawa because (a) these materials are interchangeable with the material of Yokokawa, and (b) each of these materials would provide different mechanical properties and melt temperatures which would be more desirable for particular applications which require those properties. **As to Claim 18**, Yokokawa teaches peroxide catalysts (4:41). **As to Claim 19**, Ozawa teaches that when interating materials, it may be desirable to apply an adhesive to the solid support and apply the thermoplastic-elastomer composition onto the adhesive (22:27-36). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the adhesive and substrate into the method of Yokokawa in order to provide strength and adherence between the materials.

As to Claim 35, note that step (b) of this claim recites "into said second port said first port;", which is a typographical error. By the exclusion of the curing agent from step (a), the curing agent is interpreted to be placed into the second port in step (b). Yokokawa teaches a

Art Unit: 1732

method for forming a thermoplastic fluorocarbon elastomer composition comprising first mixing a mixture of fluorocarbon elastomer and thermoplastic material (4:8-16), then feeding a curing agent for the fluorocarbon elastomer into the mixture (4:16-18), which would inherently have a "T90" (time to 90% cure). Yokokawa further teaches injection molding or extrusion (5:15-19), and completing the cure of the thermoplastic vulcanizate (5:20-39). Yokokawa does not specifically teach adhering to a substrate, the twin screw extruder having ports or the steps of placing materials into ports, partial curing of the thermoplastic vulcanizate to less than its T90 time, or applying the material onto a substrate.

However, these aspects of the invention would have been prima facie obvious of Yokokawa or Ozawa for the following reasons:

Ozawa teaches that it is known to provide a twin screw extruder (36:28), feeding an elastomer and thermoplastic material into the extruder to mix the two materials and create a dispersion (36:28-34), mixing in a curing agent through a separate port (36:34-37), and applying the mixture onto a substrate (22:20-54).

Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Ozawa into that of Yokokawa for the following reasons:

- (a) It would be desirable to the method of Yokokawa to integrate the thermoplastic-fluoroelastomer material with other objects, such as the materials of Ozawa, in order to provide strength, shape, or other properties of the secondary material(s).
- (b) Yokokawa suggests fabricating tubular articles (5:62), which is the shape provided by Ozawa (Col. 22).
- (c) The teachings of Ozawa regarding the selection of appropriate temperature and time for dynamic vulcanization would have been desirable to one seeking to optimize the process of Yokokawa and provide an appropriate degree of mixing and/or curing
- (d) Ozawa teaches that the twin screw extruder is a desirable substitute for the Banbury mixer of Yokokawa (Ozawa, 17:10-15).

As to Claims 38 and 40, Yokokawa teaches copolymers of vinylidene fluoride and a peroxide curing agent (2:25-35, 4:41). **As to Claims 41-43**, Ozawa teaches that in a dynamic mixing process, many thermoplastics may be used interchangeably, including fluoroplastics (23:47-49, ETFE), non-fluorinated (23:20-43), and partially fluorinated thermoplastics (PVDF, 23:44-45). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate these materials into the method of Yokokawa because (a) these materials are interchangeable with the material of Yokokawa, and (b) each of these materials would provide different mechanical properties and melt temperatures which would be more desirable for particular applications which require those properties.

3. **Claims 2 and 3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Eisinga (USPN 5792348). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 1 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claims 2 and 3**, Yokokawa explicitly suggests injection molding (5:15-16), but Yokokawa is silent to “insertion molding” onto a metal substrate. However, Eisinga teaches that it is known to insert mold onto a steel plate (2:8-17), which is a metal insert placed in a mold, reading on “insertion molding”. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Eisinga into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa provides injection molding of materials including polyvinylidene fluoride and Eisinga suggests that polyvinylidene fluoride is a suitable material for injection molding onto steel plates (6:17-19), and (b) it would be desirable in the method of Yokokawa to provide insert materials having strength or other properties not found in the thermoplastic material.

4. **Claims 5-7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of DeAntonis (EP 0132583 A2). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 1 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claims 5-7**, Yokokawa explicitly suggests extrusion (5:16) of a mixture that is interpreted to be at least partially cured, but Yokokawa does not specifically teach “co-extrusion” of the adhesive and the partially cured thermoplastic vulcanizate by a liquid continuous injection unit. However, DeAntonis teaches applying and bringing layers together by co-extrusion of a

Art Unit: 1732

plastic material, adhesive, and substrate (page 4). Although the device is not specifically described as a “liquid continuous injection unit”, it is submitted that because the layers are “molten” (page 4, line 31) and may be cast onto rolls (page 15, line 35), that the device of DeAntonis is a liquid continuous injection unit. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of DeAntonis into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa explicitly suggest extrusion (5:16) of polyvinylidene fluoride (6:45), which is provided by DeAntonis, and (b) incorporating the substrate of DeAntonis would maintain the excellent chemical resistance of the fluoropolymer but allow minimization of the amount of fluoropolymer used by providing only a surface layer (page 3, top half).

5. **Claim 8** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Kolb (USPN 3884877). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 1 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 8**, Yokokawa is silent to the bisphenol curing agents. However, Kolb teaches that when curing fluoroelastomers (title) of vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), it is known to use a bisphenol curative (8:63-69, 8:37-50). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Kolb into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa teaches vinylidene fluorides which require a catalyst or curing agent, and Kolb teaches that bisphenols are very useful (8:69) for curing fluoroelastomer compositions (title), particularly vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), or (b) doing so would provide the ability to vary the curing time and temperature (9:22-25).

Art Unit: 1732

6. **Claim 17** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Kolb (USPN 3884877). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 13 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 17**, Yokokawa is silent to the bisphenol curing agents. However, Kolb teaches that when curing fluoroelastomers (title) of vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), it is known to use a bisphenol curative (8:63-69, 8:37-50). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Kolb into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa teaches vinylidene fluorides which require a catalyst or curing agent, and Kolb teaches that bisphenols are very useful (8:69) for curing fluoroelastomer compositions (title), particularly vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), or (b) doing so would provide the ability to vary the curing time and temperature (9:22-25).

7. **Claim 20** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Eisinga (USPN 5792348). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 10 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 20**, Yokokawa explicitly suggests injection molding (5:15-16) and curing (Col. 5), but Yokokawa is silent to "insertion molding" onto a substrate. However, Eisinga teaches that it is known to insert mold onto a steel plate (2:8-17), which is a metal insert placed in a mold, reading on "insertion molding". It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Eisinga into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa provides injection molding of materials including

Art Unit: 1732

polyvinylidene fluoride and Eisinga suggests that polyvinylidene fluoride is a suitable material for injection molding onto steel plates (6:17-19), and (b) it would be desirable in the method of Yokokawa to provide insert materials having strength or other properties not found in the thermoplastic material.

8. **Claim 21** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of DeAntonis (EP 0132583 A2). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 10 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claims 5-7**, Yokokawa explicitly suggests extrusion (5:16) of a mixture that is interpreted to be at least partially cured, but Yokokawa does not specifically teach “co-extrusion” of the substrate and composition. However, DeAntonis teaches applying and bringing layers together by co-extrusion of a thermoplastic material and substrate (page 4). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of DeAntonis into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa explicitly suggest extrusion (5:16) of polyvinylidene fluoride (6:45), which is provided by DeAntonis, and (b) incorporating the substrate of DeAntonis would maintain the excellent chemical resistance of the fluoropolymer but allow minimization of the amount of fluoropolymer used by providing only a surface layer (page 3, top half).

9. **Claims 22-26, 28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of DeAntonis (EP 0132583 A2). **As to Claim 22**, Yokokawa teaches a method of making an article comprising:

Art Unit: 1732

- (a) making a dynamic vulcanizate having a fluoroelastomer discrete phase and a thermoplastic continuous phase (which is implicit in view of the 3:1 weight ratio disclosed at 2:49-55);
- (b) extruding (5:16);
- (c) completing the cure of the extruded vulcanizate (5:20-38).

Yokoyama is silent to:

- (a) the partially cured material
- (b) co-extruding with a substrate

However, these aspects of the invention would have been prima facie obvious for the following reasons:

- (a) Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending.
- (b) DeAntonis teaches applying a thermoplastic material onto a substrate by co-extrusion (page 4).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of DeAntonis into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa explicitly suggest extrusion (5:16) of polyvinylidene fluoride (6:45), which is provided by DeAntonis, and (b) incorporating the substrate of DeAntonis would maintain the

Art Unit: 1732

excellent chemical resistance of the fluoropolymer but allow minimization of the amount of fluoropolymer used by providing only a surface layer (page 3, top half).

As to Claim 23, DeAntonis provides a co-extruded adhesive layer between the two materials (page 4), and one would be motivated to incorporate the adhesive in order to improve the bond between the materials. **As to Claim 24**, DeAntonis teaches a multimanifold dies (page 4, line 22) which would inject the molten material in a liquid phase. **As to Claim 25**, Yokokawa teaches mixing together a fluoroelastomer resin, thermoplastic, and a curing agent at elevated temperature (4:44-60), and it is the Examiner's position that some degree of reaction would be implicit because substantially similar temperatures are also used for curing (7:9, 8:12-17). However, Yokokawa teaches that the degree of cure is not complete because doing so would disturb the mixing process (2:54-57). **As to Claim 26**, Yokokawa teaches at least vinylidene fluoride (2:25-35) which would be in an uncured copolymer form. **As to Claim 28**, Yokokawa teaches peroxides (4:41).

10. **Claim 27** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of DeAntonis (EP 0132583 A2), and further in view of Kolb (USPN 3884877). Yokokawa and DeAntonis teach the subject matter of Claim 25 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 27**, Yokokawa is silent to the bisphenol curing agents. However, Kolb teaches that when curing fluoroelastomers (title) of vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), it is known to use a bisphenol curative (8:63-69, 8:37-50). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Kolb into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa teaches vinylidene fluorides which require a catalyst or curing

Art Unit: 1732

agent, and Kolb teaches that bisphenols are very useful (8:69) for curing fluoroelastomer compositions (title), particularly vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), or (b) doing so would provide the ability to vary the curing time and temperature (9:22-25).

11. **Claims 29-32, 34** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Eisinga (USPN 5792348) and Ozawa (USPN 5910544).

As to Claim 29, Yokokawa teaches a method for making an article comprising a cured fluoroelastomer composition comprising injection molding and completing the cure of the elastomer composition (4:36-5:38), which would implicitly produce a discrete elastomer phase and substantially continuous fluorinated thermoplastic phase by the 1:3 weight ratio in which these components are included in the mixture (2:49-55). Yokokawa is silent to:

- (a) the solid metal substrate and applying an adhesive layer to the substrate
- (b) placing the adhesive covered substrate into the mold
- (c) insertion molding a partially cured elastomer to contact the substrate

However, these aspects of the invention would have been prima facie obvious for the following reasons:

(a,b) Eisinga teaches that it is known to provide a solid metal substrate (disclosed as a steel plate, 2:47-63, which would be “solid” even though it may have holes for various purposes) and place the substrate in a mold (2:8-17). Ozawa further teaches that it is known to provide an adhesive on the surface of articles that are to be coated (22:28-36).

(c) Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is

Art Unit: 1732

supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending. Eisinga further teaches injection molding onto an insert (2:12-17), which is interpreted to be insertion molding.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the methods of Eisinga and Ozawa into that of Yokokawa because it would be desirable to the method of Yokokawa to integrate the thermoplastic-fluoroelastomer material with other objects, such as the materials of Eisinga, in order to provide strength, shape, or other properties of the secondary material(s), and to improve the bond with those materials with an adhesive, according to the method of Ozawa.

As to Claim 30, Yokokawa teaches dynamically mixing while heating to temperatures of 120 C (4:51), which would have resulted in some partial curing of the vulcanizate material. This position is supported by Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include curing steps performed at 160 C (7:9) or 100 to 125 C (8:13-14). Yokokawa's teaching of curing cycles which include temperatures similar to those also used in the mixing step suggests that some degree of vulcanization occurred. However, Yokokawa suggests that the vulcanization should not proceed to completion because doing so would affect blending (2:54-58). Thus, the conditions provided by Yokokawa would fall within the claimed range which provides some degree of curing, but less than 90% cured elastomer. **As to Claim 31**, Yokokawa teaches a variety of mixing apparatuses, but is silent to the twin screw type. However, Ozawa teaches that

Art Unit: 1732

the twin screw type of is particularly preferable for dynamic vulcanization (17:10-15), and one would have been motivated to use this extruder in view of Ozawa's teaching that it is particularly preferred as an alternative to the Banbury mixer (17:10-15), such as also disclosed by Yokokawa (3:30). **As to Claims 32 and 34**, Yokokawa teaches a fluoroelastomer of vinylidene fluoride mixed with a peroxide (2:25-45 and 4:6-50).

12. **Claim 33** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Eisinga (USPN 5792348) and Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Kolb (USPN 3884877). Yokokawa, Eisinga, and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 30 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 33**, Yokokawa is silent to the bisphenol curing agents. However, Kolb teaches that when curing fluoroelastomers (title) of vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), it is known to use a bisphenol curative (8:63-69, 8:37-50). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Kolb into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa teaches vinylidene fluorides which require a catalyst or curing agent, and Kolb teaches that bisphenols are very useful (8:69) for curing fluoroelastomer compositions (title), particularly vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), or (b) doing so would provide the ability to vary the curing time and temperature (9:22-25).

13. **Claim 36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Eisinga (USPN 5792348). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 35 above under 35 USC

Art Unit: 1732

103(a). **As to Claim 36**, Yokokawa teaches a method for making an article comprising a cured fluoroelastomer composition comprising injection molding a mixture that would be partially cured due to its elevated mixing temperature (4:50-52) and completing the cure of the elastomer composition (4:36-5:38). Yokokawa is silent to the insertion molding process including a mold. However, Eisinga teaches that it is known to insert mold onto a steel plate (2:8-17). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Eisinga into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa provides injection molding of materials including polyvinylidene fluoride and Eisinga suggests that polyvinylidene fluoride is a suitable material for insertion molding onto steel plates (6:17-19), and (b) it would be desirable in the method of Yokokawa to provide insert materials having strength or other properties not found in the thermoplastic material.

14. **Claim 37** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of DeAntonis (EP 0132583 A2). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 35 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 37**, Yokokawa explicitly suggests extrusion (5:16) of a mixture that is interpreted to be at least partially cured, but Yokokawa does not specifically teach “co-extrusion” of the vulcanizate and substrate. However, DeAntonis teaches applying and bringing layers together by co-extrusion of a plastic material, adhesive, and substrate (page 4). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of DeAntonis into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa explicitly suggest extrusion (5:16) of polyvinylidene fluoride (6:45), which is provided by DeAntonis, and

Art Unit: 1732

(b) incorporating the substrate of DeAntonis would maintain the excellent chemical resistance of the fluoropolymer but allow minimization of the amount of fluoropolymer used by providing only a surface layer (page 3, top half).

15. **Claim 39** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa (USPN 4094949) in view of Ozawa (USPN 5910544), and further in view of Kolb (USPN 3884877). Yokokawa and Ozawa teach the subject matter of Claim 35 above under 35 USC 103(a). **As to Claim 39**, Yokokawa is silent to the bisphenol curing agents. However, Kolb teaches that when curing fluoroelastomers (title) of vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), it is known to use a bisphenol curative (8:63-69, 8:37-50). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Kolb into that of Yokokawa because (a) Yokokawa teaches vinylidene fluorides which require a catalyst or curing agent, and Kolb teaches that bisphenols are very useful (8:69) for curing fluoroelastomer compositions (title), particularly vinylidene fluoride (4:55-56), or (b) doing so would provide the ability to vary the curing time and temperature (9:22-25).

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to the restriction requirement have been considered but are moot in view of the withdrawal of the requirement.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-2450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Matthew J. Daniels', is positioned above the printed name.

Matthew J. Daniels

A.U. 1732

23 May 2007